Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 3498-3508

Inorganic Chemistry Article

Structural Versatility of 5-Methyltetrazolato Complexes of $(\eta^{5}$ -Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)iridium(III) Incorporating 2,2'-Bipyridine, *N*,*N*-Dimethyldithiocarbamate, or 2-Pyridinethiolate Ligands

Mai Kotera, Yusuke Sekioka, and Takayoshi Suzuki*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan

Received August 17, 2007

Several new mono- and dinuclear η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl (Cp*) iridium(III) complexes bearing 5-methvltetrazolate (MeCN₄⁻) have been synthesized and their molecular and crystal structures have been determined. For complexes incorporating 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy) or 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), both mononuclear KN²-coordinated and dinuclear $\mu \kappa N^{1} \cdot \kappa N^{2}$ -bridging MeCN₄ complexes were obtained: [Cp*Ir(bpy or phen)(MeCN₄- κN^{2})]PF₆ (1 or 3) and [{Cp*lr(bpy or phen)}₂(μ -MeCN₄- κ N: κ N³](PF₆)₃ (2 or 4), respectively. It was confirmed by X-ray analysis that the dinuclear complex in **2** has a characteristic structure with a pyramidal pocket constructed from a $\mu \cdot \kappa N^{3} \cdot \kappa N^{3}$ bridging MeCN₄⁻ and two bpy ligands. In the case of analogous complexes with N.N-dimethyldithiocarbamate (Me_2dtc^{-}) , yellow platelet crystals of mononuclear κN^1 -coordinated complex, $[Cp^*Ir(Me_2dtc)(MeCN_4-\kappa N^1)] \cdot HN_4CMe$ (5 · HN₄CMe), and yellow prismatic crystals of dinuclear $\mu - \kappa N^1 : \kappa N^4$ -bridging one, [{Cp*lr(Me₂dtc)}₂(μ -MeCN₄- κN^1 : κN^4)]PF₆ (6), were deposited. The κN^1 - and κN^1 : κN^4 -bonding modes of MeCN₄⁻ in these complexes presumably arise from the compactness of the Me₂dtc⁻ coligand. 6 is the first example in which tetrazolates act as a μ - κ N: κN^4 -bridging ligand. Furthermore, the molecular and crystal structures of dinuclear complexes having μ - $\kappa^2 S$, N: κS bridging 2-pyridinethiolate (2-Spy⁻) or 8-quinolinethiolate (8-Sqn⁻) ligands have been determined: $[(Cp^*Ir)_2(\mu-2-$ Spy or 8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S, N:\kappa S)_2$ (7 or 8). These thiolato-bridging complexes were stable toward the addition of 5-methyltetrazole (HN₄CMe), owing to the characteristic intramolecular stacking interaction between the pyridine or the guinoline rings. The 2-Spy complex of 7, however, reacted with an excess amount of Na(N₄CMe), resulting in cleavage of the Ir—N(py) bond and coordination of MeCN₄⁻ in the μ - κN^2 : κN^3 -bridging mode: [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -2-Spy- $\kappa S:\kappa S)_2(\mu$ -MeCN₄- $\kappa N^2:\kappa N^3)$]PF₆ (9). This bridging mode of MeCN₄- was also observed in the triply bridging MeCN₄ complex: $[(Cp^*|r)_2(\mu-MeCN_4-\kappa N^2;\kappa N^3)_3]PF_6$ (10). In these various MeCN₄ complexes, the structural parameters of the MeCN₄ moiety were not perturbed by the difference in the bonding modes.

Introduction

In the design and construction of metallo-organic hybrid materials, one of the most important concepts is the spatial control of the molecular building blocks.^{1–6} For versatile

bridging ligands having multiple coordination modes, it is particularly significant to elucidate the factors that control their bonding patterns.^{6–9} One class of such versatile bridging

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: suzuki@chem.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp.

Eddaoudi, M.; Moler, D. B.; Li, H.; Chen, B.; Reineke, T. M.; O'Keefe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 319–330, and references therein.

 ^{(2) (}a) Han, L.; Hong, M. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2005, 8, 406–419. (b) Hong, M. Cryst. Growth Des. 2007, 7, 10–14.

⁽³⁾ Kitagawa, S.; Kitaura, R.; Noro, S.-I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2334–2375.

⁽⁴⁾ Bradshow, D.; Claridge, J. B.; Cussen, E. J.; Prior, T. J.; Roddeinsky, M. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 273–282.

⁽⁵⁾ Steel, P. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 243-250.

 ^{(6) (}a) Hill, M.; Mahon, M. F.; Molloy, K. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 1857–1865. (b) Hill, M.; Mahon, M. F.; McGinley, J.; Molloy, K. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 835–845.

^{(7) (}a) Oro, L. A.; Ciriano, M. A.; Pérez-Torrente, J. J.; Villarroya, B. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 193–195, 941–975. (b) Oro, L. A.; Pinillos, M. T.; Tejel, C.; Apreda, M. C.; Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, F. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1988, 1927–1933. (c) Tejel, C.; Villarroya, B. E.; Ciriano, M. A.; Oro, L. A.; Lanfranchi, M.; Tiripicchio, A.; Tiripicchio-Camellini, M. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 4360–4368. (d) Tejel, C.; Villarroya, B. E.; Ciriano, M. A.; Ciriano, M. A.; Edwards, A. J.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oro, L. A.; Lanfranchi, M.; Tiripicchio-Camellini, M. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 3954–3963.

Structural Versatility of 5-Methyltetrazolato Complexes

Scheme 1. 5-Methyltetrazolate (MeCN₄⁻) and Its Coordination and Bridging Modes in Mono- and Dinuclear Complexes



ligands is tetrazolates (RCN₄⁻), which have four possibly ligating nitrogen atoms in a heteroaromatic five-membered ring. Tetrazolates form two possible linkage isomers when they act as a monodentate ligand and also have four possible bridging modes if they bind two metal centers (Scheme 1). Thus, the diversity of the coordination modes of tetrazolates is prominent as compared to those of other nitrogencontaining heteroaromatic compounds, such as triazolates, pyrazolates, and imidazolates. However, because the basicity of their nitrogen sites becomes weaker as the number of the nitrogen atoms in the ring increases,^{10,11} the coordination ability of tetrazolates is expected to be rather weak. This may be one of the reasons why studies on tetrazolato complexes are limited.^{12–22} Most of the previous studies were focused on the formation of tetrazolates by the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of an azide ion (or ligand) and a nitrile on a metal center¹²⁻¹⁵ and on the linkage isomerism of the monodentate coordination compounds.13,15,18 There were only a few papers concerning dinuclear complexes bridged

- (8) (a) Wu, T.; Yi, B.-H.; Li, D. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 4130–4132. (b) Yélamos, C.; Gust, K. R.; Baboul, A. G.; Heeg, M. J.; Schlegel, H. B.; Winter, C. H. *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 6451–6462.
- (9) Kotera, M.; Sekioka, Y.; Suzuki, T. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2007, in press.
 (10) The pK_a values of *N*-heteroaromatic five-membered compounds are tetrazole, 4.9; 5-methyltetrazole, 5.63; 1,2,3-triazole, 9.4; 1,2,4-triazole, 10.3; pyrazole, 14; imidazole, 14.2; pyrrole, 17.5 (data from ref 11).
- (11) (a) Hansen, L. D.; Baca, E. J.; Scheiner, P. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1970, 7, 991. (b) Boraei, A. A. A. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2001, 46, 939–943.
- (12) (a) Šima, J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2325–2334. (b) Dori, Z.; Ziolo, R. F. Chem. Rev. 1973, 73, 247–254. (c) Frühauf, H.-W. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 523–596. (d) Kukushkin, V. Y.; Pombeiro, A. J. L. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1771–1802.
- (13) (a) Wee, S.; Grannas, M. J.; McFadyen, W. D.; O'Hair, R. A. J. Aust. J. Chem. 2001, 54, 245–251. (b) Paul, P.; Chakladar, S.; Nag, K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1990, 170, 27–35. (c) Paul, P.; Nag, K. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 2969–2974. (d) Chang, C.-W.; Lee, G.-H. Organometallics 2003, 22, 3107–3116. (e) Rigby, W.; Bailey, P. M.; McCleverty, J. A.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 371–381. (f) Mautner, F. A.; Gspan, C.; Gatterer, K.; Goher, M. A. S.; Abu-Youssef, M. A. M.; Bucher, E.; Sitte, W. Polyhedron 2004, 23, 1217–1224.
- (14) (a) Hay, R. W.; McLaren, F. M. *Transition Met. Chem.* 1999, 24, 398–402. (b) Demadis, K. D.; El-Samanody, E.-S.; Meyer, T. J.; White, P. S. *Inorg. Chem.* 1998, *37*, 838–839. (c) Huynh, M. H. V.; Meyer, T. J.; Labouriau, A.; Morris, D. E.; White, P. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 2828–2829.
- (15) (a) Ellis, W. R., Jr.; Purcell, W. L. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 834–837.
 (b) Purcell, W. L. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1205–1208. (c) Hubinger, S.; Hall, J. H.; Purcell, W. L. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 2394–2398.
- (16) (a) Palopoli, S. F.; Geib, S. J.; Rheingold, A. L.; Brill, T. B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 2963–2971. (b) John, E. O.; Willett, R. D.; Scott, B.; Kirchmeier, R. L.; Shreeve, J. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 893–897.
- (17) Zhou, X.-G.; Huang, Z.-E.; Cai, R.-F.; Zhang, L.-X.; Hou, X.-F.; Feng, X.-J.; Huang, X.-Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 563, 101–112.

Scheme 2. Various Bidentate Coligands Used in This Study



by tetrazolates,^{16,17} and to our best knowledge, no reports have been published on dinuclear complexes having 5-me-thyltetrazolate as a bridging ligand.

In this study, we have prepared various types of MeCN₄ complexes using Cp*Ir^{III}(L—L) fragments (Cp* = η^5 -C₅Me₅; L—L = bidentate ligands listed in Scheme 2). We also reveal the dependence of the selectivity of coordination and bridging modes of MeCN₄⁻ in mono- and dinuclear complexes on the coligand L—L.

Results and Discussion

5-Methyltetrazolato Complexes Incorporating 2,2'-Bipyridine. A yellow methanol solution was prepared by a reaction of [Cp*IrCl(bpy)]Cl and AgOTf $(TfO^- = CF_3SO_3^-)$ in a 1:2 molar ratio in methanol, followed by filtration of the resulting white precipitate (AgCl). Then, the solution was reacted with an equimolar mixture of 5-methyltetrazole (HN₄CMe) and sodium methoxide (NaOMe) in methanol, affording two kinds of Cp*Ir^{III}(bpy) complexes bearing MeCN₄⁻ (eq 1). The ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture (in CD₃CN) gave a singlet resonance at δ 1.60 due to the Cp* group of one product and two singlet

$$[Cp^{*}IrCl(bpy)]Cl \xrightarrow{+ 2 Ag^{+}}_{- 2 AgCl} \xrightarrow{+ MeCN_{4}^{-}}_{+ [{Cp^{*}Ir(bpy)}_{2}(MeCN_{4})]^{*}} (1)$$

$$(1)$$

resonances at δ 1.31 and 1.44 due to the nonequivalent Cp* groups of the other. The formation ratio of the two products was approximately 3:2, and each one could be obtained selectively when the reaction conditions were optimized. The former complex exhibiting a single Cp* resonance was obtained nearly quantitatively when an excess (more than quintuple the molar quantity) of Na(N₄CMe) was applied in the reaction and could be isolated as the PF₆ salt, [Cp*Ir(bpy)(MeCN₄)]PF₆ (1). The crystal structure of 1 was determined by X-ray analysis, which revealed the monodentate κN^2 -coordination mode of MeCN₄⁻ (Figure 1). It is

- (19) Ansell, G. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 371-374.
- (20) Guilard, R.; Perrot, I.; Tabard, A.; Richard, P.; Lecomte, C.; Liu, T. H.; Kadish, K. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 27–37.
- (21) Munoz-Hernández, M.-A.; Hill, M. S.; Atwood, D. A. Polyhedron 1998, 17, 2237–2242.
- (22) Carlucci, L.; Ciani, G.; Proserpio, D. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3488–3492.

^{(18) (}a) Nelson, J. H.; Schmitt, D. L.; Henry, R. A.; Moore, D. W.; Jonassen, H. B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1970**, *9*, 2678–2681. (b) Takach, N. E.; Holt, E. M.; Alcock, N. W.; Henry, R. A.; Nelson, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, *102*, 2968–2979.

known for 5-methyltetrazolate that the basicity at the N^1 site is higher than that at the N^2 site.^{18b} On the other hand, because coordination through the N^1 atom directs the orthopositioned methyl group toward the bpy coligand, it would be unfavorable from the steric point of view. For the Cp*Ir^{III}(bpy) fragment, however, the κN^1 -coordination mode of MeCN₄⁻ is not impossible (in fact, the κN^1 -bonding mode was found in the dinuclear complex described below). The selective formation of **1** indicates the importance of steric congestion for the coordination of MeCN₄⁻. The structural parameters in the Cp*Ir^{III}(bpy) fragment are normal as compared to those in [Cp*Ir(N₃)(bpy)]PF₆²³ and other related [Cp*IrX(bpy)]ⁿ⁺-type complexes.²⁴ The Ir—N(N₄CMe) bond length is Ir1—N2 2.075(4) Å, which is comparable to the Ir—N(pz) length in [Cp*Ir(HBpz₃- $\kappa^2 N$,N')Cl], 2.080(2) Å.²⁵

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** in CD₃CN indicated that the κN^2 -coordinated complex exists in solution without any linkage isomerism; if MeCN₄⁻ was bound to the Ir^{III} center through the N^1 atom, the $MeCN_4^-$ resonance would show a remarkably higher-field shift, owing to the ring current effect of the bpy group. The stability of the κN^2 -coordination mode in **1** is in contrast to the Pd^{II}, Pt^{II}, ^{13a,b,18a} and Co^{III15,18b} complexes, where the MeCN₄ complexes exist as an equilibrium mixture of the κN^1 - and κN^2 -coordinated complexes in solution.

The other MeCN₄ complex (in eq 1), that showed two Cp^* resonances at δ 1.31 and 1.44 with equal intensities, was solely synthesized by the reaction with an equimolar quantity of 5-methyltetrazole (HN₄CMe) and isolated as the PF₆ salt. The single-crystal X-ray analysis of the product confirmed the dinuclear structure of the tricationic complex, in which MeCN₄⁻ bridged unsymmetrically two iridium centers through the N^1 and N^3 atoms: [{Cp*Ir(bpy)}₂(μ -MeCN₄- κN^1 : κN^3)](PF₆)₃·2H₂O (**2**·2H₂O) (Figure 2). This dinuclear complex has a characteristic structure with a pyramidal pocket constructed from a μ - κN^1 : κN^3 bridging MeCN₄⁻ and two bpy planes (part b of Figure 2). This structure and configuration can reduce the steric congestion most effectively when the mononuclear complex 1 attaches another Cp*Ir(bpy) fragment. The Ir-N(bpy) bond lengths in 2, 2.093(4)-2.094(4) Å, are comparable to those in **1**. However, the Ir2-N3 bond, 2.092(3) Å, is slightly longer than the corresponding Ir—N(MeCN₄) bond in 1, 2.075(4) Å, and the Ir1-N1 bond in 2, 2.108(4) Å, is further longer. This elongation of the Ir1-N1 bond should be related to the steric repulsion of the ortho-positioned Me group of MeCN₄⁻ with the bpy coligand. Such a steric interaction also affects the coordination bond angles around the nitrogen atoms; whereas the angles of Ir2-N3-N2 and Ir2-N3-N4 are nearly the same as each other $\{123.1(3) \text{ vs } 124.8(3)^{\circ}\}$, the angle of Ir1-N1-C5 135.9(3)° is remarkably enlarged as compared to that of Ir1-N1-N2 115.3(3)°.



Figure 1. ORTEP (30% probability level) of the cation in $[Cp*Ir(bpy)-(MeCN_4-\kappa N^2)]PF_6$ (1).

The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the unsymmetrically MeCN₄-bridged dinuclear structure in **2** was maintained in CD₃CN solution. Two nonequivalent Cp* resonances were diagnostically high-field shifted, as compared to those in **1** and other six-coordinated $[Cp*Ir^{III}X(L-L)]^{n+}$ -type complexes.^{23,24} The *Me*CN₄⁻ resonance of **2** (δ 1.85) was also higher-field shifted than that of **1** (δ 2.13). The reactions of the bpy precursor complex with HN₄CMe or Na(N₄CMe) are collected in Scheme 3.

Cycloaddition Reactions To Give the MeCN₄ Complexes. It has been well documented that tetrazolato complexes are prepared by cycloaddition reactions of azido complexes with organic nitriles^{12,13} or nitrile complexes with an azide anion.^{12,15} Therefore, it is interesting to investigate in this study whether 1 and 2 are able to be synthesized by such cycloaddition reactions.

The azido complex, $[Cp*Ir(N_3)(bpy)]PF_6$,²³ was stable in CD₃CN (in the dark) at ambient temperature for several days. On heating the solution to 70 °C, however, a gradual formation of $[Cp*Ir(bpy)(CD_3CN_4-\kappa N^2)]^+$ was detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (the yield was 31% after 24 h). In this reaction, the formation of the other linkage isomer (i.e., the κN^1 -coordinated CD₃CN₄ complex) was not observed. Here, it should be noted that Purcell et al. studied the isomerization reaction of the κN^1 -bonded MeCN₄ complex of $[Co(MeCN_4 - \kappa N^1)(NH_3)_5]^{2+}$, which was kinetically obtained by a reaction of [Co(NH₃)₅(CH₃CN)]³⁺ and N₃⁻, to the thermodynamically more-stable κN^2 isomer.¹⁵ Hence, we thought that a reaction of an acetonitrile complex of Cp*Ir^{III}(bpy) with the azide anion might give the κN^1 isomer of 1. The complex of [Cp*Ir(bpy)(CH₃CN)](PF₆)₂ was obtained as a pale-yellow powdery compound by a reaction of [Cp*IrCl(bpy)]Cl with double the molar quantity of AgOTf, followed by removal of the white precipitate of AgCl and the addition of excess NH₄PF₆. A stoichiometric amount

⁽²³⁾ Suzuki, T. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E 2005, 61, m486-m490.

 ^{(24) (}a) Youinou, M. T.; Ziessel, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 363, 197–208. (b) Ogo, S.; Makihara, N.; Kaneko, Y.; Watanabe, Y. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4903–4910.

⁽²⁵⁾ Carmona, E.; Cingolani, A.; Marchetti, F.; Pettinari, C.; Pettinari, R.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Organometallics 2003, 22, 2820–2826.



Figure 2. (*a*) ORTEP (30% probability level, hyrdogen atoms omitted) and (*b*) a schematic top view (iridium atoms are drawn as a large ball; nitrogen atoms as a small ball; carbon and hydrogen atoms as a stick) of the cation in $[\{Cp^*Ir(bpy)\}_2(\mu-MeCN_4 - \kappa N^1, \kappa N^3)](PF_6)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ (2 · 2H₂O).

Scheme 3. Reactions of the bpy Precursor Complex with 5-Methyltetrazole (HN₄CMe) or 5-Methyltetrazolate (MeCN₄⁻)



of NaN₃ (solid) was added to an acetonitrile- d_3 solution of $[Cp*Ir(bpy)(CH_3CN)](PF_6)_2$, and the thermal reaction of the mixture was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. At the beginning, a small amount of the N²-bonded MeCN₄ complex (1) was formed, but it was converted to the μ - κN^1 : κN^3 bridging dinuclear complex (2). After the reaction was completed, 2 was the sole product in the reaction. In a separate experiment, it was found that the addition of $[Cp*Ir(bpy)(CH_3CN)](PF_6)_2$ to an acetonitrile solution of 1 transformed quantitatively to the dinuclear complex 2. These facts suggest that dinuclear complex formation is preferable rather than the cycloaddition reaction forming the 5-methyltetrazolato complex. On the other hand, even when an excess amount of Na(N4CMe) was added to an acetonitrile solution of 2, the mononuclear complex 1 could not be formed. This means that once the dinuclear complex is formed, it is substitution inert and kinetically very stable (Scheme 4).

1,10-Phenanthroline Complexes. With an analogous starting complex incorporating 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), $[Cp*IrCl(phen)]PF_6$, similar reactions to give the MeCN₄ complexes were examined. Likewise to the bpy complexes (Scheme 3), both mononuclear and dinuclear complexes, $[Cp*Ir(phen)(MeCN_4)]PF_6$ (3) and $[\{Cp*Ir(phen)\}_2(\mu-MeCN_4)](PF_6)_3$ (4), were obtained. The mononuclear complex of 3 was expected to have the κN^2 -coordinated MeCN₄⁻ due to the steric requirement from the Me group at 5-position

Scheme 4. Cycloaddition Reactions To Give Cp*Ir(bpy)—MeCN₄ Complexes



(vide infra), although the crystal structure was not determined by X-ray analysis. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** in CD₃CN showed the single Cp* and *Me*CN₄ resonances at δ 1.67 and 2.02, respectively, in the integration ratio of 5:1. This spectrum was stable for prolonged standing in the dark at ambient temperature, suggesting that neither the linkage isomerization of coordinated MeCN₄⁻ nor the dinuclear complex formation to give a MeCN₄-bridged complex occurred under this condition. The cycloaddition reaction of CD₃CN to the azido complex of [Cp*Ir(N₃)(phen)]PF₆ under refluxing conditions was also detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, which afforded [Cp*Ir(phen)(CD₃CN₄)]⁺. The yield of the 5-methyltetrazolate complex was ca. 33%, after the reaction was performed at 100 °C for 13.5 h.

The dinuclear complex **4** was the sole product if the stoichiometric amount of HN₄CMe was applied in the reaction (Scheme 5). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **4** showed two nonequivalent Cp* resonances at δ 1.26 and 1.46 and a *Me*CN₄ resonance at δ 1.73, all of which were relatively higher-field shifted than those of **3**. Therefore, the complex cation in **4** is reasonably assigned as a μ - κ N¹: κ N³-bridging dinuclear MeCN₄ structure, similar to the bpy complex **2**.

The overall reactions investigated with the phen precursor complex are very similar to those with the analogous bpy complex. One minor difference is the formation ratio of the



mono- and dinuclear complexes, **3** and **4**, as compared to the ratio of **1** and **2** under the same conditions. For example, when quintuple the molar quantity of Na(N₄CMe) was applied in the reaction with Cp*Ir(phen)²⁺ (Scheme 5), both mono- and dinuclear products (**3** and **4**) resulted in the ratio of 3:2, whereas only the mononuclear complex **1** was obtained in the case of the bpy complex under the same reaction condition (Scheme 3).

Syntheses and Structures of MeCN₄ Complexes Incorporating *N*,*N*-Dimethyldithiocarbamate. With similar reaction conditions to the above bpy and phen complexes, the preparation of the corresponding complexes with *N*,*N*dimethyldithiocarbamate (Me₂dtc⁻) was attempted, using [Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc)Cl]²⁶ as the starting complex. When an excess amount of Na(N₄CMe) was applied (eq 2), the mononuclear complex [Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc)(MeCN₄)] (5) was produced. This complex was cocrystallized with free HN₄CMe:

$$[Cp^*Ir(Me_2dtc)CI] \xrightarrow{+ AgOTf} - AgCI \xrightarrow{+ 5 MeCN_4^-} Cp^*Ir(Me_2dtc) / Interpretation (2)$$

 $[Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)(MeCN_4)]$ · HN₄CMe (5 · HN₄CMe) (Figure 3), as revealed by elemental analysis, spectroscopic measurements, and X-ray crystallography. The HN₄CMe molecule in the crystal was hydrogen-bonded to the coordinated MeCN₄⁻ ligand through the N¹-H moiety, N6(-H)···N4

Kotera et al.

2.737(7) Å, and also showed stacking interactions with the Cp* ring and another HN₄CMe ring of the neighboring molecules (part b of Figure 3). The most striking feature in the molecular structure of $5 \cdot HN_4CMe$ is the κN^1 -coordination mode of MeCN₄⁻. Because the basicity of the N¹-position of MeCN₄⁻ is higher than that of the N^2 -position, ^{18b} the κN^1 bonding would be preferable if steric congestion around the metal center does not interfere with coordination of MeCN₄⁻, and the Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc) fragment seems to be compact enough for κN^1 -coordination. The Ir-N(MeCN₄) bond in 5. HN₄CMe, Ir1–N1 2.084(4) Å, is slightly shorter than Ir2—N4 2.109(4) Å in the dinuclear bpy complex 2, which is consistent with the above argument for the steric requirement of the smaller Me₂dtc⁻ coligand. The Ir-S(Me₂dtc) bond lengths are 2.385(1) and 2.388(1) Å, which are comparable to those in $[Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)(N_3)]$.²⁶ The bond angle, Ir1-N1-C5 132.2(4)°, is slightly larger than Ir1-N1-N2 120.5(4)°, but the discrepancy in these angles is not so large as that in the corresponding bond angles in complex 2 (vide infra).

The product resulting from reaction with a stoichiometric amount of HN_4CMe was isolated as the PF_6 (6) or OTf salt (eq 3). The crystal structure of 6 has been determined by X-ray analysis as a dinuclear complex bridged by $MeCN_4^-$

via the N^1 and N^4 atoms: [{Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc)}₂(μ -MeCN₄- κN^1 : κN^4)]PF₆ (Figure 4). In this complex cation, two Me₂dtc⁻ ligands are nearly parallel and oriented to the same direction. It is notable that, in contrast to the unsymmetrical dinuclear structure of the bpy complex in **2**, the Me₂dtc complex in **6** is symmetrical with a μ - κN^1 : κN^4 -bridging MeCN₄⁻. This is the first example in which tetrazolate (RCN₄⁻) acts as a μ - κN^1 : κN^4 -bridging ligand. The coligand of Me₂dtc⁻ is



Figure 3. (*a*) ORTEP (30% probability level) of $[Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)(MeCN_4-\kappa N^1)]$ ·HN₄CMe (5·HN₄CMe). (*b*) A schematic drawing that shows hydrogenbonding and stacking interactions of the HN₄CMe molecule in the crystal of 5·HN₄CMe.



Figure 4. ORTEP (50% probability level) of the cation in [{Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc)}₂(μ -MeCN₄- κ N¹: κ N⁴)]PF₆ (**6**).

compact enough that steric interactions between two Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc) fragments and between the complex fragment and the bridging MeCN₄⁻ group would be trivial, even if the μ - κ N¹: κ N⁴-bridging mode was assumed. Nevertheless, in the angular parameters of the bridging MeCN₄ in **6**, there are relatively large discrepancies (ca. 20°) between Ir1–N1–C5 and Ir1–N1–N2 angles and between Ir2–N4–C5 and Ir2–N4–N3, as similarly observed at the κ N⁴ bonding site of MeCN₄ in **2**. The Ir–N(MeCN₄) bond lengths in **6**, Ir1–N1 2.097(6) and Ir2–N4 2.066(6) Å, and the Ir–S(Me₂dtc) bond lengths, 2.366(2)–2.399(2) Å, are comparable to those in the mononuclear complex **5**·HN₄CMe.

When crystals of $5 \cdot HN_4CMe$ were dissolved in CD_2Cl_2 , the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that at least three species having a Cp* group exist in solution. The most abundant (ca. 60% at 30 °C) species showed the spectral pattern corresponding to the mononuclear structure of $[Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)(MeCN_4)]$ (either the κN^1 -coordinated MeCN₄ complex or its κN^2 -linkage isomer). On the other hand, the ¹H NMR spectral pattern of **5**·HN₄CMe in CD₃CN (at 30 °C) was completely different, and the main signals (ca. 67%) were consistent with the μ - κN^1 : κN^4 -bridging dinuclear structure, $[{Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)}_2(\mu-MeCN_4-\kappa N^1:\kappa N^4)]^+$ (the same cation in $\mathbf{6}$). Furthermore, when crystals of dinuclear complex 6 were dissolved in CD₃CN, the ¹H NMR spectrum (at 30 °C) indicated the existence of an isomeric mixture of the $\mu - \kappa N^1 : \kappa N^4$ - and $\mu - \kappa N^1 : \kappa N^3$ -bridging species in the ratio of ca. 3:1 (eq 4). Thus, it is concluded that the Me_2dtc complexes afford a mixture of interconverting bonding modes in solution, whereas more strongly bound bpy and phen ligands give configurationally stable complexes.



(26) Suzuki, T.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Mayer, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10514–10515.

Structures of 2-Pvridinethiolato and 8-Quinolinethiolato Complexes and Their Reactivity toward MeCN₄⁻. As seen in the above sections, the ligand of MeCN₄⁻ bridged two Cp*Ir^{III}(L–L) fragments in the μ - κN^1 : κN^3 and $\mu - \kappa N^1 : \kappa N^4$ modes when the coligand (L-L) was bpy/phen and Me₂dtc⁻, respectively. Therefore, it is interesting to examine the synthesis and structure of the MeCN₄bridging complex with a hybrid-type pyridine-thiolate or quinoline—thiolate chelating ($\kappa^2 N, S$) ligand: 2-Spy or 8-Sqn. At first, using the 2-Spy precursor complex, a similar reaction to that for the dinuclear complex of **2**, **4**, or **6** was attempted. From a reaction mixture of [Cp*Ir(2-Spy)Cl] and AgPF₆ in MeOH, the precipitated AgCl was filtered off, and the yellow filtrate was mixed with an equivalent molar quantity of HN₄CMe. However, from this mixture, no product containing $MeCN_4^-$ as a ligand could be obtained (eq 5). To see the reason why such a reaction with HN₄CMe was prohibited, the precursor complex of Cp*Ir(2-Spy)(PF₆) has been characterized. X-ray crystallography revealed that the com-

$$[Cp^*Ir(2-Spy)CI] \xrightarrow{+ AgPF_6} "Cp^*Ir(2-Spy)(PF_6)" \xrightarrow{+ HN_4CMe} \text{ no reaction}$$

$$\xrightarrow{- AgCI} (7) (5)$$

plex, $[(Cp*Ir)_2(\mu-2-Spy-\kappa^2 S,N:\kappa S)_2](PF_6)_2$ (7), has a dinuclear structure with two $\mu-\kappa^2 S,N:\kappa S$ -bridging 2-Spy ligands (part *a* of Figure 5).

The corresponding 8-quinolinethiolato (8-Sqn) complex was prepared directly from a reaction of $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2$ and Na(8-Sqn) (without Cl⁻ extraction using Ag⁺ ion) and crystallized as the PF₆ salt upon the addition of NH₄PF₆ (eq 6). The X-ray analysis of the recrystallized product, $[(Cp*Ir)_2(8-$

$$[Cp^*IrCl_2]_2 \xrightarrow{2 (8-HSqn \cdot HCl) + 4 \text{ NaOMe}} CH_3OH \xrightarrow{NH_4PF_6} [(Cp^*Ir)_2(8-Sqn)_2](PF_6)_2 \\ \textbf{8}$$
(6)

 Sqn_2 [(PF₆)₂·¹/₂CH₃CN (8·¹/₂CH₃CN), confirmed a similar dinuclear structure with μ - $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS -bridging 8-Sqn ligands (part b of Figure 5). In both complexes of 7 and 8, the two pyridyl or quinolyl groups in the bridging ligands take a syn configuration with respect to a nearly planar Ir_2S_2 square; the dinuclear complex dication has pseudo- C_2 symmetry. This molecular structure should be favored, as compared to the pseudo- C_i isomer with an anti configuration, by the intramolecular stacking interaction between two pyridyl or quinolyl rings. In the 2-Spy complex 7, the dihedral angle between the pyridyl planes is $18.9(2)^{\circ}$, and the closest contact distances of N31····C42 and N41····C32 are 3.018(4) and 3.001(4) Å, respectively. In relation to this stacking interaction, the Ir-S bond lengths in the Ir_2S_2 core show a characteristic feature, that is, the bridging bonds, Ir1-S2 2.4063(8) and Ir2-S1 2.4052(9) Å, are shorter than the bonds in the four-membered chelate rings, Ir1-S1 2.4454(9) and Ir2-S2 2.4514(9) Å. This would be the reason why the 2-Spy bridges in the dinuclear complex 7 are so stable and do not react with HN₄CMe.

In the case of the 8-Sqn complex $8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ CH₃CN, the dihedral angle between the quinolyl planes is $12.10(9)^{\circ}$ and the closest



Figure 5. ORTEPs (50% probability level, hydrogen atoms omitted) of the dinuclear cationic complexes in (*a*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -2-Spy- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) and (*b*) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N: κS)₂](PF₆)₂ (**7**) [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S$,N) (**8**) [(C

contact distances of N31····C48 and N41····C38 are 3.023(4) and 3.059(4) Å, respectively. The bridging Ir—S bonds are Ir1—S2 2.4142(9) and Ir2—S1 2.4148(8) Å, which are comparable to those in the 2-Spy complex **7**. On the other hand, the Ir—S bonds in the five-membered 8-Sqn chelate rings, Ir1—S1 2.3652(8) and Ir2—S2 2.3655(8) Å, are markedly shorter than the Ir—S bonds in the four-membered 2-Spy chelate rings in **7**. The Ir—N(py) bond lengths in **7** are 2.088(3) and 2.098(3) Å, and the Ir—N(qn) lengths in **8** are 2.102(3) and 2.108(3) Å. It is, thus, suggested that the steric strain arising from the formation of the four-membered 2-Spy chelate ring in **7** did not alter the Ir—N(py) bond length in **8** and elongated the Ir—S bond in the chelate ring. This could be due to the higher angular flexibility of the thiolate (S⁻) coordination than the pyridine (py) one.

The reaction of **7** with quintuple the molar quantity of Na(N₄CMe) gave a gradual color change to lighter yellow, and pale-yellow crystals of the product PF_6 salt (**9**) could be isolated (eq 7). The elemental analysis suggested the composition of the MeCN₄-bridged complex of (Cp*Ir)₂(2-



Spy)₂(MeCN₄)(PF₆), as similar to complexes **2**, **4**, and **6**. The X-ray crystallographic analysis of **9**, however, determined the triply bridged dinuclear structure of the complex cation (Figure 6), with two μ - κ S: κ S-bridging 2-Spy and a μ - κ N²: κ N³-bridging MeCN₄ ligands. The nitrogen site of 2-Spy was uncoordinated in this complex, **9**. Interestingly, in the reaction with excess MeCN₄⁻ the Ir—N(py) bonds of **7** were cleaved, whereas the elongated Ir—S bonds in the 2-Spy chelate rings maintained to form μ - κ S: κ S-bridges. Similar dinuclear structures with bridging two thiolato (SR) ligands and a pyrazolato



Figure 6. ORTEP (30% probability level, hydrogen atoms omitted) of the cation in $[(Cp*Ir)_2(\mu-2-Spy-\kappa S:\kappa S)_2(\mu-MeCN_4-\kappa N^2:\kappa N^3)]PF_6$ (9).

(pz) ligand have been reported in the Cp*Rh^{III} complexes: [(Cp*Rh)₂(μ -pz)(μ -SR)₂]BF₄ (R = C₆H₅, *p*-C₆F₄H).²⁷

The Ir—S bond lengths in **9** are 2.377(3)–2.399(3) Å, which are similar in length to those in **7** and **8**, suggesting that the μ - κ S: κ S-bridge in **9** is stable. The bridging mode of MeCN₄⁻⁻ is μ - κ N²: κ N³; it is easily assumed that this mode is the most sterically preferable when the tetrazolate binds two metal centers in the (Cp*Ir)₂(μ -2-Spy- κ S: κ S)₂ fragment. The Ir—N(MeCN₄) bonds are Ir1—N2 2.079(8) and Ir2—N3 2.082(9) Å, which correspond well to the Ir—N(pz) bonds in related pyrazolate-²⁵ or 4-methylpyrazolate-bridged complexes.²⁸

When crystals of **9** were dissolved in CD_2Cl_2 or CD_3CN , the ¹H NMR spectrum of each solution showed the existence of a complicated mixture of more than six species having a coordinated MeCN₄. For a structurally similar dinuclear

⁽²⁷⁾ García, J. J.; Barón, G.; Arévalo, A.; Torrens, H.; Carmona, D.; Esteban, M.; Lohoz, F. J.; López, J. A.; Oro, L. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 551, 55–65.

⁽²⁸⁾ Faure, M.; Onidi, A.; Neels, A.; Stoeckli-Evans, H.; Süss-Fink, G. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *634*, 12–18.

Structural Versatility of 5-Methyltetrazolato Complexes

Cp*Rh^{III} complex, $[(Cp*Rh)_2(\mu-pz)(\mu-SC_6H_5)_2]BF_4$, a fluxional behavior is observed in solution, owing to the isomerization process among the syn-exo, anti, and syn-endo isomers dependent on the orientation of a pair of thiolate-phenyl groups.²⁷ In addition, for the present 2-Spy/MeCN₄ complex, it is possible that one of the pyridyl-N atoms recoordinates to an iridium center, affording an asymmetrical dinuclear complex bearing a monodentate (either κN^1 - or κN^2 coordinated) MeCN₄ ligand at the other iridium atom: $[Cp*Ir(\mu-2-Spy-\kappa^2S,N:\kappa S)(\mu-2-Spy-\kappa S:\kappa S)(MeCN_4 \kappa N$)IrCp*]⁺. Another partially dissociated mono- and dinuclear complex containing MeCN₄ may possibly exist. Thus, it is concluded that the molecular structure of 9 with two μ - κ S: κ S-bridging 2-Spy ligand and a μ - κ N²: κ N³-bridging MeCN₄ ligand is not stable in solution. However, pale-yellow crystals of 9 were reprecipitated nearly quantitatively from the above solution by slow evaporation.

The 8-Sqn-bridged complex **8** was stable toward the addition of excess Na(N₄CMe) (eq 8); **8** was recovered quantitatively from the reaction mixture. This fact is consistent with the shorter Ir—S(bridge or chelate) bond lengths in **8**, as compared to the Ir—S(chelate) bonds in the 2-Spy complex of **7**.



Triply *µ***-MeCN**₄-*KN*²:*KN*³-**Bridged Complex.** As shown in the above sections, the bridging modes of $MeCN_4^-$ in dinuclear [(Cp*Ir^{III})₂(L-L)₂(MeCN₄)]ⁿ⁺ complexes are dependent on the kind of L-L coligands. Therefore, it is intriguing to investigate the structure of the MeCN₄-bridging complex without any coligand. The reaction of [Cp*IrCl₂]₂, AgOTf, and Na(N₄CMe) in the molar ratio of 1:4:3 afforded such a complex, and the product could be crystallized upon the addition of NH₄PF₆ as yellow needle crystals having the chemical formula of [(Cp*Ir)₂(MeCN₄)₃]PF₆·¹/₂CH₃CN (10· ¹/₂CH₃CN) (eq 9). Repeated recrystallization of this compound from (wet) acetonitrile/diethyl ether gave a few pieces of yellow columnar crystals, which were probably a dihydrate of the desired complex PF_6 salt: $[(Cp*Ir)_2(MeCN_4)_3]PF_6 \cdot 2H_2O$ (10 · 2H₂O). X-ray crystallography revealed the triply bridging μ -MeCN₄- κN^2 : κN^3 structure of the dinuclear complex cation (Figure 7). Up to now, several crystal structures of dinuclear Cp*Ir^{III} complexes bridged by one or two pyrazolate derivatives are reported,^{25,28} but this is the first example of the triply bridged dinuclear



Cp*Ir^(III) complex, to our best knowledge. The two Cp* rings are nearly parallel to each other; the dihedral angle between



Figure 7. ORTEP (30% probability level, hydrogen atoms omitted) of the cation in $[(Cp^*Ir)_2(\mu-MeCN_4 - \kappa N^2 : \kappa N^3)_3]PF_6 \cdot 2H_2O$ (**10** · 2H₂O).

them is only $1.5(6)^{\circ}$, and three MeCN₄ planes have dihedral angles of 119.0(4), 105.4(4), and 134.4(4)^{\circ}. The Ir—N(N₄CMe) bond lengths are in the range of 2.088(9)–2.111(9) Å, and the Ir1···Ir2 distance is 3.923(4) Å.

10 in CD₃CN exhibited a simple ¹H NMR spectrum, consisting of one Cp* and one MeCN₄ resonance in the integration ratio of 5:3. This spectral feature is indicative of the stability of the triply bridged structure in solution.

Concluding Remarks

For 5-methyltetrazolate (MeCN₄⁻), two monodentate coordination modes and four bridging modes are possible (Scheme 1). In this study with Cp*Ir^{III}(L—L) fragments, mononuclear complexes with both κN^1 - and κN^2 -coordination modes and dinuclear complexes having three kinds of bridging modes (except for the μ - κN^1 : κN^2 one) have been synthesized selectively, depending on the coligands (L—L) and the reaction ratio of HN₄CMe or Na(N₄CMe) applied. All of the bonding modes have been confirmed by X-ray analyses. The Me₂dtc complex of [{Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc)}₂(μ -MeCN₄- κN^1 : κN^4 -)PF₆ (6) is the first dinuclear complex having the μ - κN^1 : κN^4 -bridging mode of tetrazolates.

The most important factor for determining the bridging mode of MeCN₄⁻ would be the steric requirement from the coligand, L-L. Because the bpy and phen ligands are planar and rather bulky, the μ - κN^1 : κN^3 bridge is the only sterically possible mode in $[{Cp*Ir(bpy or phen)}_2(MeCN_4)]^{3+}$, which gives a characteristic pyramidal pocket surrounded by two bpy/phen ligands and a MeCN₄ ligand. In contrast, in the case where the coligand is compact enough as that for Me₂dtc⁻, the κN^1 -coordination mode of MeCN₄⁻ is preferable because of the higher basicity of the N^1 site versus N^2 .^{18b} In fact, the κN^1 -coordination and the μ - κN^1 : κN^4 -bridging modes were confirmed in the mononuclear and the dinuclear Me2dtc complexes 5 and 6, respectively. Both complexes, however, showed a complicated ¹H NMR spectrum (in CD₃CN or CD₂Cl₂) due presumably to partial dissociation (and recoordination through the other bonding site) of MeCN₄⁻ in solution. This may be related to the lower positive charge

of the $Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)^+$ fragment, as compared to the $Cp*Ir(bpy or phen)^{2+}$ fragments.

The most striking feature in this study is the reduced reactivity of the 2-Spy and 8-Sqn complexes (7 and 8) toward the addition of HN₄CMe (eqs 5 and 8), although the coligands can be considered as hybrids of bpy/phen and Me_2dtc^- . The crystal structures of 7 and 8 shed light on the factors contributing to this observation. Owing to the intramolecular stacking interaction between the pyridine or quinoline rings (Figure 5), the μ - κ S: κ S bridges in [(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -2-Spy or 8-Sqn- $\kappa^2 S, N:\kappa S)_2$ ²⁺ are extremely stable. In **7**, the chelate ($\kappa^2 S, N$) coordination of 2-Spy forming a fourmembered ring suffered a large strain, as revealed in their bond angles, and the chelate ring could be cleaved by the reaction with excess Na(N₄CMe). This reaction, however, maintained the μ - κ S: κ S bridges of two 2-Spy ligands, and MeCN₄ bound two Ir^{III} centers of the $(Cp*Ir)_2(\mu-2-Spy-\kappa S)$: κS_{2} fragment in the most sterically favorable way: $\mu - \kappa N^{2}$: κN^3 (9).

In comparison with the structural parameters of the MeCN₄ moiety in the various kinds of MeCN₄ complexes described in this study, there were no apparent differences attributable to the coordination/bridging modes of MeCN₄⁻. Therefore, the bonding mode of MeCN₄ does not alter the properties of the ligand itself, and it is concluded that steric demand of the metal fragment is the determining factor for the bonding mode of MeCN₄⁻.

Experimental Section

Materials and Measurements. The starting iridium(III) complexes $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2, {}^{29}[Cp*IrCl(bpy or phen)]Cl, {}^{24a,30}$ $[Cp*Ir(N_3)(bpy)]PF_6, {}^{23}$ $[Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)Cl], {}^{26}$ and $[Cp*Ir(2-Spy)Cl]^{31}$ were synthesized according to literature methods. The phen complexes, $[Cp*Ir(Cl or N_3)(phen)]PF_6$, were prepared by similar methods to those of the bpy analogues. ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra were acquired on a Jeol EX-270 or 400 spectrometer at 30 °C; the chemical shifts were referenced to residual ${}^{1}H$ NMR signals of solvents and are reported versus TMS.

 $[Cp*Ir(bpy)(MeCN_4-\kappa N^2)]PF_6$ (1). To a methanol solution (1) cm³) of [Cp*IrCl(bpy)]Cl (10.6 mg, 0.019 mmol) was added a methanol solution (1 cm³) of AgOTf (10.7 mg, 0.042 mmol) with stirring. The mixture was allowed to stand in the dark overnight, and the resulting white precipitate (AgCl) was filtered off and washed with a small amount (ca. 1 cm³) of methanol. The filtrate and washings were combined and mixed with a methanol solution (2 cm³) containing 5-methyltetrazole (7.6 mg, 0.090 mmol) and sodium methoxide (4.9 mg, 0.091 mmol). After stirring for ca. 30 min, the mixture was filtered off to remove a small amount of white precipitate, probably Ag(N₄CMe), and a methanol solution (1 cm³) of NH₄PF₆ (1.35 mg, 0.083 mmol) was added to the filtrate. Diffusion of diethyl ether vapor into the mixture in a closed vessel afforded yellow prismatic crystals, which were collected by filtration and dried in air. Yield: 6.7 mg (49%). Anal. Found: C, 37.03; H, 3.76; N, 11.80. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₆F₆IrN₆P: C, 37.13; H, 3.68; N, 11.81. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 303 K): δ 1.60 (s, Cp*, 15H), 2.13 (s, MeCN₄, 3H), 7.81 (m, bpy, 2H), 8.21 (m, bpy, 2H), 8.36 (m, bpy, 2H), and 9.07 (m, bpy, 2H).

 $[{Cp*Ir(bpy)}_2(\mu-MeCN_4-\kappa N^1:\kappa N^3)](PF6)_3\cdot 2H_2O$ $(2 \cdot 2H_2O)$. To a methanol solution (2 cm^3) of [Cp*IrCl(bpy)]Cl(154 mg, 0.278 mmol) was added a methanol solution (1 cm³) of AgOTf (138 mg, 0.537 mmol) with stirring. The mixture was stirred in the dark at room temperature overnight, and the white precipitate was filtered off. To the yellow filtrate was added a methanol solution (1 cm³) of 5-methyltetrazole (24 mg, 0.29 mmol) with stirring. The mixture, after standing for ca. 30 min, was filtered to remove a small amount of white precipitate, and a methanol solution (2 cm³) of NH₄PF₆ (217 mg, 1.33 mmol) was added with stirring. The vellow precipitate formed was collected by filtration, and the crude product was recrystallized from an acetonitrile solution by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether, depositing yellow block crystals of 2. Yield: 121 mg (57%). Anal. Found: C, 33.43; H, 3.40; N, 7.49. Calcd for C42H53F18Ir2N8O2P3: C, 33.16; H, 3.51; N, 7.37. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 303 K): δ 1.31 (s, Cp*, 15H), 1.44 (s, Cp*, 15H), 1.85 (s, MeCN₄, 3H), and 7.85-8.88 (m, bpy, 16H).

 $[{\mathbf{Cp}^*\mathbf{Ir}(\mathbf{phen})}_2(\mu-\mathbf{MeCN}_4-\kappa N^1:\kappa N^3)](\mathbf{PF}_6)_3$ (4). To a methanol solution (2 cm³) of [Cp*IrCl(phen)]PF₆ (21.8 mg, 0.032 mmol) was added a methanol solution of AgOTf (8.8 mg, 0.034 mmol) with stirring. The mixture was allowed to stand in the dark overnight, and the white precipitate was removed by filtration. 5-Methyltetrazole (3.0 mg, 0.036 mmmol) in methanol (1 cm³) was added with stirring to the filtrate, and the resulting white precipitate was filtered off. A methanol solution (1.5 cm^3) of NH₄PF₆ (16.5 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added, and the solvent was evaporated under ambient conditions. The residue was washed with methanol (1 cm³) and recrystallized from acetonitrile by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether, affording yellow microcrystals. Yield: 14.0 mg (68%). Anal. Found: C, 36.79; H, 3.19; N, 7.11. Calcd for C₄₆H₄₉F₁₈Ir₂N₈P₃: C, 36.03; H, 3.22; N, 7.31. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 303 K): δ 1.26 (s, Cp*, 15H), 1.46 (s, Cp*, 15H), 1.73 (s, MeCN₄, 3H), and 8.10-9.20 (m, phen, 16H).

 $[Cp*Ir(Me_2dtc)(MeCN_4-\kappa N^1)]$ ·HN₄CMe (5·HN₄CMe). To a methanol suspension (4 cm³) of [Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc)Cl] (48.2 mg, 0.100 mmol) was added a methanol solution (4 cm³) of AgOTf (27.7 mg, 0.101 mmol) with stirring. The mixture was stirred in the dark at room temperature for 7 h. After removal of the white precipitate, a methanol solution (4 cm³) containing HN_4CMe (42.7 mg, 0.508) mmol) and NaOMe (27.7 mg, 0.513 mmol) was added to the filtrate, and the mixture was allowed to stand overnight. The white precipitate was filtered off, and the yellow filtrate was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with a minimum amount of methanol, and diethyl ether vapor was diffused into the filtrate, affording yellow crystals of 5 · HN₄CMe. When this compound was recrystallized repeatedly from methanol/ diethyl ether, it was necessary to add a small amount of free HN₄CMe to give fine crystals of the HN₄CMe adduct, **5** · HN₄CMe. Yield: 23.4 mg (38%). Anal. Found: C, 33.23; H, 4.41; N, 20.41. Calcd for C17H28IrN9S2: C, 33.21; H, 4.59; N, 20.50. 1H NMR(CD₂Cl₂, 303 K): main signals of **5** and HN₄CMe; δ 1.72 (s, Cp*, 15H), 2.47 (s, N₄CMe- κN^1 , 3H), 2.62 (s, MeCN₄H, 3H), and 3.04 (s, *Me*₂dtc, 6H).

[{**Cp*Ir**(**Me₂dtc**)}₂(μ -**MeCN**₄- κ *N*¹: κ *N*⁴)]**PF**₆ (6). [Cp*Ir-(Me₂dtc)Cl] (114 mg, 0.236 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of methanol and acetonitrile (1:1, 5 cm³), and a methanol solution (2 cm³) of AgPF₆ (59.6 mg, 0.236 mmol) was added with stirring. The mixture was stirred in the dark for 2 h, and the resulting white precipitate was removed by filtration and washed with acetonitrile (2 cm³) and methanol (2 cm³). The filtrate and washings were combined and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The

⁽²⁹⁾ White, C.; Yates, A.; Maitlis, P. M. *Inorg. Synth.* 1992, 29, 228–234.
(30) Dadci, L.; Elias, H.; Frey, U.; Hörnig, A.; Koelle, U.; Merbach, A. E.;

^{Paulus, H.; Schneider, J. S.} *Inorg. Chem.* 1995, *36*, 306–315.
(31) Sekioka, Y.; Kaizaki, S.; Mayer, J. M.; Suzuki, T. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 8173–8175.

Structural Versatility of 5-Methyltetrazolato Complexes

Table 1. Crystallographic Data

complex	1	2 •2H ₂ O	5	6
formula	C ₂₂ H ₂₆ F ₆ IrN ₆ P	$C_{42}H_{53}F_{18}Ir_2N_8O_2P_3$	$C_{17}H_{28}IrN_9S_2$	$C_{28}H_{45}F_6Ir_2N_0PS_4$
fw	711.66	1521.23	614.80	1123.31
$T(\mathbf{K})$	200(2)	200(2)	200(2)	200(2)
cryst color/habit	yellow/prism	yellow/block	yellow/plate	yellow/prism
cryst size (mm ³)	$0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$	$0.24 \times 0.14 \times 0.10$	$0.30 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
space group, Z	$P2_1/n, 4$	$P2_1/n, 4$	$P2_1/n, 4$	$P\overline{1}, 2$
a (Å)	8.8503(7)	12.9780(10)	9.0705(6)	9.0077(10)
b (Å)	28.408(2)	21.3291(14)	23.6980(15)	14.7648(14)
<i>c</i> (Å)	9.8675(5)	20.4997(14)	10.9302(6)	15.3777(14)
α (deg)	90	90	90	67.675(2)
β (deg)	93.760(2)	109.086(2)	92.542(2)	76.876(2)
γ (deg)	90	90	90	87.743(2)
$V(Å^3)$	2475.6(3)	5362.6(6)	2382.8(6)	1840.0(3)
$D_{\text{calcd}} \text{ (mg m}^{-3}\text{)}$	1.909	1.884	1.740	2.028
F(000)	1384	2952	1208	1084
μ (Mo K α) (mm ⁻¹)	5.527	5.154	5.889	7.556
R _{int}	0.032	0.037	0.037	0.041
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.339, 0.491	0.371, 0.627	0.271, 0.591	0.313, 0.519
reflns/params ratio	5564/332	0.031	5288/272	8347/439
R1 $[F_0^2 > 2\sigma(F_0^2)]$	0.030	0.083	0.028	0.035
wR2 (all reflns)	0.072	1.039	0.081	0.171
GOF	1.194	1.039	1.244	1.056
complex	7	8 •1/ ₂ CH ₃ CN	9	10·2H ₂ O
complex formula	7 C ₃₀ H ₃₈ F ₁₂ Ir ₂ N ₂ P ₂ S ₂	8 • ¹ / ₂ CH ₃ CN C ₃₉ H _{43.5} F ₁₂ Ir ₂ N _{2.5} P ₂ S ₂	9 C ₃₂ H ₄₁ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₆ PS ₂	10·2H ₂ O C ₂₆ H ₄₃ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₁₂ O ₂ P
complex formula fw	7 C ₃₀ H ₃₈ F ₁₂ Ir ₂ N ₂ P ₂ S ₂ 1165.08	8 • ¹ / ₂ CH ₃ CN C ₃₉ H _{43.5} F ₁₂ Ir ₂ N _{2.5} P ₂ S ₂ 1285.72	9 C ₃₂ H ₄₁ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₆ PS ₂ 1103.20	10· 2H ₂ O C ₂₆ H ₄₃ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₁₂ O ₂ P 1085.09
complex formula fw T (K)	7 C ₃₀ H ₃₈ F ₁₂ Ir ₂ N ₂ P ₂ S ₂ 1165.08 200(2)	8 • ¹ / ₂ CH ₃ CN C ₃₉ H _{43.5} F ₁₂ Ir ₂ N _{2.5} P ₂ S ₂ 1285.72 200(2)	9 C ₃₂ H ₄₁ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₆ PS ₂ 1103.20 200(2)	10 •2H ₂ O C ₂₆ H ₄₃ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₁₂ O ₂ P 1085.09 200(2)
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit	7 C ₃₀ H ₃₈ F ₁₂ Ir ₂ N ₂ P ₂ S ₂ 1165.08 200(2) yellow orange/block	8*1/2CH3CN C39H43.5F12Ir2N2.5P2S2 1285.72 200(2) orange/block	9 C ₃₂ H ₄₁ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₆ PS ₂ 1103.20 200(2) yellow/block	10 •2H ₂ O C ₂₆ H ₄₃ F ₆ Ir ₂ N ₁₂ O ₂ P 1085.09 200(2) yellow/prism
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³)	$\begin{array}{c} & 7 \\ \hline C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2 \\ 1165.08 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow \ orange/block \\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \end{array}$	$\frac{8^{-1/_2}CH_3CN}{C_{39}H_{43.5}F_{12}Ir_2N_{2.5}P_2S_2}\\ 1285.72\\ 200(2)\\ orange/block\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \end{array}$	$\frac{10 \cdot 2H_2O}{C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P}\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst	$\begin{array}{c} & \\ & C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2 \\ & 1165.08 \\ & 200(2) \\ & yellow \ orange/block \\ & 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \\ & triclinic \end{array}$	8.1/2CH3CN C39H43.5F12Ir2N2.5P2S2 1285.72 200(2) orange/block 0.20 × 0.15 × 0.11 monoclinic	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \end{array}$	$\frac{10 \cdot 2H_2O}{C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P}\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z	$\begin{array}{c} & 7 \\ \hline C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2 \\ 1165.08 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow \ orange/block \\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1}, 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \cdot {}^{1}/_{2}CH_{3}CN \\ \hline C_{39}H_{43.5}F_{12}Ir_{2}N_{2.5}P_{2}S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P2_{1}/a, 4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1}, 2 \end{array}$	$\frac{10 \cdot 2H_2O}{C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P}\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} & 7 \\ \hline C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2 \\ 1165.08 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow \ orange/block \\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{I}, 2 \\ 11.2017(7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot {}^{1}/_{2}CH_{3}CN \\ \hline C_{39}H_{43.5}F_{12}Ir_{2}N_{2.5}P_{2}S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P2_{1}/a, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \end{array}$	$\frac{10 \cdot 2H_2O}{C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P} \\ 1085.09 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/prism \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1}, 2 \\ 11.5401(10)$
complexformulafw T (K)cryst color/habitcryst size (mm ³)cryst systspace group, Z a (Å) b (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} & \\ & C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2 \\ & 1165.08 \\ & 200(2) \\ & yellow \ orange/block \\ & 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \\ & triclinic \\ & P\bar{1}, 2 \\ & 11.2017(7) \\ & 13.8270(8) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \cdot {}^{1} {}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \\ C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{2} {}_{1} {}_{2} {}_{4} \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_{6}Ir_{2}N_{6}PS_{2} \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{10.2H}_{2O} \\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_{6}Ir_{2}N_{12}O_{2}P \\ 1085.09 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/prism \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.5401(10) \\ 11.7447(12) \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2 \\ & 1165.08 \\ & 200(2) \\ & yellow \ orange/block \\ & 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \\ & triclinic \\ & P\bar{1}, 2 \\ & 11.2017(7) \\ & 13.8270(8) \\ & 14.2626(7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot {}^{1} {}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \\ C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{2} {}_{1} {}_{a}, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{10.2H}_{2}O\\ C_{26}H_{43}F_{6}Ir_{2}N_{12}O_{2}P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2 \\ 1165.08 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow \ orange/block \\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.2017(7) \\ 13.8270(8) \\ 14.2626(7) \\ 92.211(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8^{\star1/_2 CH_3 CN} \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_2 N_{2.5} P_2 S_2 \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{21/a}, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{I}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ \hline C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \cdot {}^{1} {}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{21} {}_{/a}, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20\times 0.15\times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{I}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot {}^{1/}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{2_{1}/a, 4} \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_{6}Ir_{2}N_{6}PS_{2} \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot {}^{1/}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{21}/a, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) D_{caled} (Mg m ⁻³)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25\times 0.15\times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1/_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P2_{1}/a, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20\times 0.15\times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) D_{calcd} (Mg m ⁻³) F(000)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25\times0.15\times0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1/_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{21}/a, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \\ C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10{\cdot}2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) a (deg) β (deg) γ (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25\times 0.15\times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112\\ 7.472\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1/_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P2_{1}/a, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \\ 6.583 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{I}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \\ 7.362 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10{\cdot}2H_2O\\ \\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20\times 0.15\times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ 7.290\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25\times 0.15\times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112\\ 7.472\\ 0.022\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1/_2 CH_3 CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_2 N_{2.5} P_2 S_2 \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P2_1/a, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \\ 6.583 \\ 0.035 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \\ 7.362 \\ 0.036 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10{\cdot}2H_2O\\ \\\hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20\times 0.15\times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ 7.290\\ 0.035\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) a (deg) β (deg) γ (function 1) γ (maximited a)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ \hline C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25\times 0.15\times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{I}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112\\ 7.472\\ 0.022\\ 0.257, 0.467\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot {}^{1/}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{4,3,5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2,5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{21}/a, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \\ 6.583 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.353, 0.506 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \\ 7.362 \\ 0.036 \\ 0.472, 0.526 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{I}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ 7.290\\ 0.035\\ 0.323, 0.408\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) a (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) D_{calcd} (Mg m ⁻³) F(000) μ (Mo K α) (mm ⁻¹) R_{int} T_{min} , T_{max} reflns/params ratio	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ \hline C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25\times 0.15\times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112\\ 7.472\\ 0.022\\ 0.257, 0.467\\ 8438/462\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1/_2 CH_3 CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_2 N_{2.5} P_2 S_2 \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{21/a}, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \\ 6.583 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.353, 0.506 \\ 9574/552 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \\ 7.362 \\ 0.036 \\ 0.472, 0.526 \\ 8516/454 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20\times 0.15\times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ PI, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ 7.290\\ 0.035\\ 0.323, 0.408\\ 8310/442\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) a (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) μ (Mo K α) (mm ⁻¹) R_{int} T min, T max refins/params ratio R1 [$F_0^2 > 2\sigma(F_0^2)$]	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112\\ 7.472\\ 0.022\\ 0.257, 0.467\\ 8438/462\\ 0.022\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1/_2 CH_3 CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_2 N_{2.5} P_2 S_2 \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{2_1/a}, 4 \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \\ 6.583 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.353, 0.506 \\ 9574/552 \\ 0.024 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \\ 7.362 \\ 0.036 \\ 0.472, 0.526 \\ 8516/454 \\ 0.042 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{I}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ 7.290\\ 0.035\\ 0.323, 0.408\\ 8310/442\\ 0.057\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ \hline C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112\\ 7.472\\ 0.022\\ 0.257, 0.467\\ 8438/462\\ 0.022\\ 0.067\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot {}^{1/}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{2_{1}/a, 4} \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \\ 6.583 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.353, 0.506 \\ 9574/552 \\ 0.024 \\ 0.050 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \\ 7.362 \\ 0.036 \\ 0.472, 0.526 \\ 8516/454 \\ 0.042 \\ 0.129 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ 7.290\\ 0.035\\ 0.323, 0.408\\ 8310/442\\ 0.057\\ 0.154\\ \end{array}$
complex formula fw T (K) cryst color/habit cryst size (mm ³) cryst syst space group, Z a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ C_{30}H_{38}F_{12}Ir_2N_2P_2S_2\\ 1165.08\\ 200(2)\\ yellow orange/block\\ 0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.2017(7)\\ 13.8270(8)\\ 14.2626(7)\\ 92.211(2)\\ 112.059(2)\\ 112.396(2)\\ 1849.90(18)\\ 2.092\\ 1112\\ 7.472\\ 0.022\\ 0.257, 0.467\\ 8438/462\\ 0.022\\ 0.067\\ 1.164\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot {}^{1/}_{2} CH_{3} CN \\ \hline C_{39} H_{43.5} F_{12} Ir_{2} N_{2.5} P_{2} S_{2} \\ 1285.72 \\ 200(2) \\ orange/block \\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \\ monoclinic \\ P_{2_{1}/a, 4} \\ 14.2968(4) \\ 12.9142(4) \\ 23.2092(7) \\ 90 \\ 100.989(1) \\ 90 \\ 4206.6(2) \\ 2,030 \\ 2476 \\ 6.583 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.353, 0.506 \\ 9574/552 \\ 0.024 \\ 0.050 \\ 1.018 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9} \\ \hline C_{32}H_{41}F_6Ir_2N_6PS_2 \\ 1103.20 \\ 200(2) \\ yellow/block \\ 0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1}, 2 \\ 11.1094(11) \\ 11.9138(11) \\ 14.9292(15) \\ 84.212(3) \\ 72.713(2) \\ 81.066(3) \\ 1860.7(3) \\ 1.969 \\ 1060 \\ 7.362 \\ 0.036 \\ 0.472, 0.526 \\ 8516/454 \\ 0.042 \\ 0.129 \\ 1.235 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\cdot 2H_2O\\ \hline C_{26}H_{43}F_6Ir_2N_{12}O_2P\\ 1085.09\\ 200(2)\\ yellow/prism\\ 0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15\\ triclinic\\ P\overline{1}, 2\\ 11.5401(10)\\ 11.7447(12)\\ 14.9334(16)\\ 87.023(2)\\ 67.242(2)\\ 83.122(3)\\ 1853.0(3)\\ 1.945\\ 1044\\ 7.290\\ 0.035\\ 0.323, 0.408\\ 8310/442\\ 0.057\\ 0.154\\ 1.190\\ \end{array}$

orange residue was extracted with acetonitrile (2 cm³), and 5-methyltetrazole (20.0 mg, 0.238 mmol) was added to the filtered extract. Addition of a few drops of methanol, followed by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into the mixture, deposited yellow prismatic crystals, which were collected by filtration and air-dried. Yield: 109 mg (82%). Anal. Found: C, 30.11; H, 3.98; N, 7.61. Calcd for C₂₈H₄₅F₆Ir₂N₆PS₄: C, 29.94; H, 4.04; N, 7.48. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 303 K): main signals of **6**; δ 1.68 (s, Cp^{*}, 30H), 2.50 (s, MeCN₄, 3H), and 3.02 (s, Me₂dtc, 6H); minor signals of the linkage isomer (**6**'); δ 1.67 (s, Cp^{*}, 5H), 1.73 (s, Cp^{*}, 5H), 2.41 (s, MeCN₄, 1H), 3.01 (s, Me₂dtc, 2H), and 3.07 (s, Me₂dtc, 2H). The corresponding OTf salt, [{Cp*Ir(Me₂dtc)}₂(μ -MeCN₄- κN^{1} : κN^{4}]OTf, was similarly prepared using AgOTf, instead of AgPF₆. Yield: 52%. Anal. Found: C, 30.96; H, 4.01; N, 7.50. Calcd for C₂₉H₄₅F₃Ir₂N₆O₃S₅: C, 30.89; H, 4.02; N, 7.45.

 $[(Cp*Ir)_2(\mu-2-Spy-\kappa S,N:\kappa S)_2](PF_6)_2$ (7). A methanol solution (2 cm³) of AgPF₆ (27 mg, 0.105 mmol) was added with stirring to a methanol solution (3 cm³) of [Cp*Ir(2-Spy)Cl] (50 mg, 0.105 mmol). The resulting white precipitate was filtered off and washed twice with methanol (2 cm³). The filtrate and washings were

combined and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with dichloromethane, and the filtered extract was concentrated to a small volume under reduced pressure. Diffusion of diethyl ether vapor into the concentrate gave yellow-orange crystals, which were collected by filtration and dried in air. Yield: 40 mg (64%). Anal. Found: C, 31.13; H, 3.32; N, 2.46. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₈F₁₂Ir₂N₂P₂S₂: C, 30.93; H, 3.29; N, 2.40. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 303 K): δ 1.76 (s, Cp*, 15H), 6.73 (ddd, *J* = 7.8, 1.2, 0.8 Hz, py-3H, 1H), 7.25 (ddd, *J* = 7.8, 5.6, 1.2 Hz, py-5H, 1H), 7.68 (td, *J* = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, py-4H, 1H), and 7.93 (ddd, *J* = 5.6, 1.6, 0.8 Hz, py-6H, 1H).

[(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -8-Sqn- κ S,N: κ S)₂](PF₆)₂·¹/₂CH₃CN (8·¹/₂CH₃CN). To a suspension of [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ (358 mg, 0.450 mmol) in methanol (10 cm³) was added a methanol solution (12 cm³) containing 8-mercaptoquinoline hydrochloride (178 mg, 0.900 mmol) and NaOMe (97.6 mmol, 1.80 mmol) with stirring. The mixture was stirred for a few minutes, and undissolved materials were filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was extracted with a mixture of dichloromethane and acetonitrile (2:1, 10 cm³). The filtered extract was evaporated, again, to dryness under

reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in methanol. Addition of a large excess amount of a methanol solution of NH₄PF₆ gave a yellow-orange precipitate. The crude product was collected by filtration and recrystallized from acetonitrile by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether. Yield: 458 mg (79%). Anal. Found: C, 36.44; H, 3.37; N, 2.93. Calcd for C₃₉H_{43.5}F₁₂Ir₂N_{2.5}P₂S₂: C, 36.43; H, 3.41; N, 2.72. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 303 K): δ 1.63 (s, Cp*, 15H), 6.92 (dd, J = 8.4, 5.4 Hz, qn-6H, 1H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, qn-7H, 1H), 7.60 (dd, J = 8.5, 7.0 Hz, qn-3H, 1H), 7.66 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.3 Hz, qn-5H, 1H), 7.83 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.2 Hz, qn-4H, 1H), and 8.00 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, qn-2H, 1H).

[(Cp*Ir)₂(μ -2-Spy- κ S: κ S)₂(μ -MeCN₄- κ N²: κ N³)]PF₆ (9). To a solution of **7** (63.6 mg, 0.054 mmol) in a mixture of methanol and acetonitrile (1:1, 2 cm³) was added a methanol solution (2 cm³) of 5-methyltetrazole (49.1 mg, 0.58 mmol) and NaOMe (31.4 mg, 0.58 mmol). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature overnight and then evaporated to dryness by flow of a dry nitrogen stream. The residue was extracted with dichloromethane (5 cm³), and the filtered extract was evaporated, again, to dryness. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of dichloromethane and methanol (1:1, 5 cm³). Slow evaporation of the solution gave pale-yellow crystals of **9**. Yield: 40.2 mg (67%). Anal. Found: C, 34.76; H, 3.66; N, 7.73. Calcd for C₃₂H₄₁F₆Ir₂N₆PS₂: C, 34.84; H, 3.75; N, 7.62.

 $[(Cp*Ir)_2(\mu-MeCN_4-\kappa N^2:\kappa N^3)_3]PF_6\cdot \frac{1}{2}CH_3CN (10\cdot \frac{1}{2}CH_3CN).$ To a methanol suspension (3 cm³) of [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ (103 mg, 0.129 mmol) was added a methanol solution (3 cm³) of AgOTf (131 mg, 0.511 mmol) with vigorous stirring. The mixture was allowed to stand in the dark for ca. 30 min, and the resulting white precipitate was filtered off. A mixture of 5-methyltetrazole (34.8 mg, 0.414 mmol) and sodium methoxide (23.4 mg, 0.433 mmol) in methanol (2 cm^3) was added to the orange filtrate; the color of the mixture turned immediately to yellow. After stirring at ambient temperature for 1 h, the white precipitate was filtered off, and a methanol solution (2 cm³) of NH₄PF₆ (117 mg, 0.715 mmol) was added to the yellow filtrate. Standing the mixture for ca. 1 h precipitated yellow product, which was collected by filtration, washed with methanol, and dried in vacuo. The crude product was recrystallized from acetonitrile and gave yellow needle crystals of $10 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ CH₃CN. Yield: 63.6 mg (45%). Anal. Found: C, 30.18; H, 3.80; N, 16.43. Calcd for C₂₇H_{40.5}F₆Ir₂N_{12.5}P: C, 30.32; H, 3.82; N, 16.37. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 303 K): δ 1.75 (s, Cp*, 30H) and 2.48 (s, MeCN₄, 9H).

Crystallography. The X-ray diffraction data were obtained at -73(2) °C on a Rigaku R-axis rapid imaging plate detector with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). A suitable crystal of each complex was mounted with a cryoloop and flash-cooled by a cold nitrogen stream. Data were processed by the *Process-Auto* program package,³² and absorption corrections were applied either by the empirical method or by the numerical

integration method.³³ The structures were solved either by the direct method using *SIR92*³⁴ or by a heavy-atom method using *DIRDIF99*-*PATTY*³⁵ and refined on F^2 (with all of the independent reflections) using the *SHELXL97* program.³⁶ For **1–9**, all of the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and hydrogen atoms in the complexes were introduced at the positions calculated theoretically and treated with riding models. The hydrogen atoms of the solvents of crystallization (in **2**•H₂O and **8**•1/₂CH₃CN) were not included in the calculation.

For **10**, repeated recrystallization from acetonitrile by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether deposited a few pieces of yellow columnar crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction study. The structural analysis revealed unambiguously the triply MeCN₄-bridged dinuclear structure of the complex cation, $[(Cp*Ir)_3(\mu-MeCN_4-\kappa N^2:\kappa N^3)_3]^+$, but the positions of the fluorine atoms of the PF₆⁻ anion were severely disordered. Furthermore, rather large electron densities remained at four separated positions, which were assumed to be disordered oxygen atoms of two water molecules. The disordered fluorine and oxygen atoms were refined with isotropic thermal parameters.

In the analysis of **9**, it could not be determined which of the ortho-positioned atoms are the (uncoordinated) nitrogen atoms of the μ - κ S: κ S bridging 2-Spy ligands. Therefore, in the reported structure we have assumed that one of the atoms is nitrogen and the other is C(-H) without any reliable reason. However, the other choice of N/C(-H) atoms did not alter the final results from the reported ones.

All of the calculations were carried out using the *CrystalStructure* software package.³⁷ Crystal data are collected in Table 1.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grant 16550055 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic information for all of the compounds analyzed in this study (PDF/ CIF format), including the colored ORTEPs and tables of selected bond lengths and angles of the complexes. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC701631K

- (36) Sheldrich, G. M. SHELXL97; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- (37) CrystalStructure, version 3.6.0; Crystal Structure Analysis Package; Rigaku and Rigaku/MSC: Akishima, Tokyo, Japan, and The Woodlands, TX, 2000–2004.

⁽³²⁾ PROCESS-AUTO, Automatic Data Acquisition and Processing Package for Imaging Plate Diffractometer; Rigaku Co. Ltd.: Akishima, Tokyo, Japan, 1998.

 ^{(33) (}a) Higashi, T. ABSCOR, Empirical Absorption Correction Based on Fourier Series Approximation; Rigaku Corp.: Tokyo, Japan, 1995. (b) Higashi, T. Shape, Program to Obtain Crystal Shape Using CCD Camera; Rigaku Corp.: Tokyo, Japan, 1999.

⁽³⁴⁾ Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Burla, M. C.; Polidori, G.; Camalli, M. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1994, 27, 435.

⁽³⁵⁾ Beurskens, P. T.; Admiraal, G.; Beruskena, G.; Bosman, W. P.; Garcia-Granda, S.; Gould, R. O.; Smits, J. M. M.; Smykalla, C. *PATTY, The DIRDIF Program System*; Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory; University of Nijmegen: Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.